

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**  
**No. 21-2040V**

\*\*\*\*\*

ZHUOYI QIU,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 2, 2023

*Jeffrey S. Pop*, Jeffrey S. Pop & Associates, Beverly Hills, CA, for Petitioner.

*Matthew Murphy*, U.S. Dep't of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

**DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES<sup>1</sup>**

On October 19, 2021, Zhuoyi Qui filed a petition seeking compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ("Vaccine Program").<sup>2</sup> Petitioner alleges that he suffered from Guillain-Barré Syndrome ("GBS") and/or Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy ("CIDP") as a result of his October 23, 2018, receipt of the influenza ("flu") vaccine. In the alternative, Petitioner alleges the flu vaccination significantly aggravated his prior GBS/CIDP. Moreover, Petitioner alleges that he experienced residual effects of this injury for more than six months.

Respondent denies that the flu vaccine caused or significantly aggravated Petitioner's alleged GBS and/or CIDP; denies that the flu vaccine significantly aggravated any injury; and

---

<sup>1</sup> In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has fourteen days to identify and move to redact from the Decision medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access. Otherwise, the whole Decision will be available to the public.

<sup>2</sup> The Vaccine Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3758, codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 through 34 (2012) ("Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). Individual section references hereafter will be to § 300aa of the Act (but will omit that statutory prefix).

denies that Petitioner's current condition is a sequelae of a vaccine-related injury. Nonetheless both parties, while maintaining their above-stated positions, agreed in a stipulation (filed on June 2, 2023) that the issues before them could be settled, and that a decision should be entered awarding Petitioner compensation.

I have reviewed the file, and based upon that review, I conclude that the parties' stipulation (as attached hereto) is reasonable. I therefore adopt it as my decision in awarding damages on the terms set forth therein.

The stipulation awards:

- A lump sum of \$75,000.00 in the form of a check payable to Petitioner.

Stipulation ¶ 8. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under Section 15(a) of the Act.

I approve a Vaccine Program award in the requested amount set forth above to be made to Petitioner. In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment herewith.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Brian H. Corcoran  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by each filing (either jointly or separately) a notice renouncing their right to seek review.